TEST REPORT

NUMBER: LECH00343669

APPLICANT: Suck UK Limited
31 Regent Studios
Andrews Road
London
E8 4QN

DATE: 30th October 2013

For the attention of Emma

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: SK Lightbottle 1

REFERENCE / STYLE NO: Not specified

RETAILER: Not specified

SAMPLE RECEIVED: 12th September 2013

PURCHASE ORDER: Not specified

TEST REQUEST: *Assessment to Article 3 of the General Product Safety Directive 2001/95/EC

CONCLUSION: As a result of the assessment detailed in this report the item was considered to pose a “low” to “very low” risk and therefore in our opinion was considered to be a safe product as defined by Article 2 of 2001/95/EC.

An addition to the warnings is suggested.

We draw your attention to the comments in this report.

Tests marked (*) in this Test Report are not included in the UKAS Accreditation Schedule for this Laboratory
Tests marked (^) in this Test Report have been sub-contracted to an agent approved by Intertek
Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS Accreditation
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1. INTRODUCTION
The purpose of this assessment is to determine whether the item may be regarded as safe within the meaning of the General Product Safety Directive 2001/95/EC.

The General Product Safety Directive 2001/95/EC states that:

“Producers shall be obliged to place only safe products on the market”.

This directive is designed to ensure the safety of products, which are to be placed upon the market, and to which no specific safety legislation is deemed to apply.

Where relevant, the safety of the item has been judged by reference to standards and safety guidance documents e.g. EN 71. These standards contain pertinent requirements on safety aspects relevant to assess potential hazards the item may have.

Matters relating to quality e.g. colourfastness, durability, etc. are outside the scope of this report.

It must be recognised that there may be more than one approach to assessing whether a product is safe. This report represents the view of ITS testing Services (UK) Ltd and is based upon an examination of the sample submitted. Only the courts are able to offer authoritative interpretations on whether a product is safe.

2. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION
The product was a USB rechargeable LED light.

3. ASSESSMENT
Physical/Mechanical hazards
EN 71-1 Safety of toys. Part 1: Mechanical and physical properties
Clause 4.7 Edges
No sharp edges were found on the submitted item.

Clause 4.8 Points and metallic wires
No sharp points were found.

Clause 8.2 Small parts
The light as received contained small parts as defined in this clause, indicating that the item is not suitable for children under 3 years of age.

\^IEC/EN 60825-1 Safety of laser products – Part 1: Equipment classification and requirements
This standard covers radiation produced by light emitting diodes. When assessed the item met the requirements for a Class 1 LED, where Class 1 is considered to be eye safe under all conditions.

In spite of the above, it was considered possible that the light could cause temporary loss of vision if a person were to stare into the beam. However, when assessed using the Nomograph method of risk assessment as developed by the New Zealand Ministry for Consumer Affairs, the risk of personal injury was deemed to be “low” to “very low”.

Chemical hazards
There were no chemical hazards which would not be addressed under other legislation.
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Packaging & Markings
The suitability of the labelling and packaging forms part of an assessment to the General Product Safety Directive, since correct labelling provides information to the consumer to enable them to assess the risks inherent in a product, and to take precautions against those risks, and should be in the languages of the countries in which the item will be retailed.

Labelling was supplied in the form of artwork. A trade name and postal address were present, as required by the Regulations. Use instructions were provided in the form of an annotated diagram. It is suggested that further warnings are added indicating the item contains small parts which present a hazard of choking to young children.

4. COMMENTS
This assessment does not include the requirements of other legislation that this item should legally comply with. In particular it does not address general legislation such as REACH which applies to many types of product.

Date of testing: 27th – 29th October 2013

END OF REPORT

This report is made solely on the basis of your instructions and/or information and materials supplied by you. It is not intended to be a recommendation for any particular course of action. Intertek does not accept a duty of care or any other responsibility to any person other than the Client in respect of this report and only accepts liability to the Client insofar as is expressly contained in the terms and conditions governing Intertek’s provision of services to you. Intertek makes no warranties or representations either express or implied with respect to this report save as provided for in those terms and conditions. We have aimed to conduct the Review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct.